

7 Feb 67		SEC. CL. 8	ORIGIN IPD		CONTROL NO. IPD-47/67	
DATE OF DOC	DATE REC'D	DATE OUT	SUSPENSE DATE		CROSS REFERENCE OR POINT OF FILING	
	9 Feb 67					
TO FROM SUBJ.		Test for TD [Redacted] Plotter Test <i>PM 2/8</i>				
		ROUTING		DATE SENT		
		DB				
		C/DS		8		
		[Redacted]		<i>10/2/5</i> <i>RP AF</i>		
		FILE				
COURIER NO.		ANSWERED		NO REPLY		
				5		

Declass Review by
NIMA/DOD

CENTER ROUTING SLIP

FROM Approved For Release 2003/01/28 : CIA-RDP78B04770A002600100007-7

TO		INITIALS	DATE	REMARKS
DIR				<i>BN</i> Frank F. What action will you take in view of the recommendation? <i>BN -</i> I am preparing memo for the record on the results of my tests.
DEP/DIR				
EXEC/DIR				
TECH ADV				
SPECIAL ASST				
ASST FOR P&M				
/SS				
ASST FOR OPS				
ASST FOR PA				
ASST FOR P&D	✓			
CH/CSD				
CH/IPD				
CH/PD				
CH/PSD				
/TID				
CH/CIA/IAD				
CH/DIA/XX-4				
CH/DIA/AP-IP				
CH/SPAD				
LO/CGS/CIA				
LO/NSA				

IP FM 30 (5-66) DESTROY PREVIOUS EDITIONS

SECRET

NPIC/IPD-47/67
7 February 1967

MEMORANDUM FOR: Assistant for Technical Development

25X1
SUBJECT: Plotter Test

1. Attached is a memo written by IPD's analyst. You will note that our conclusion is that the regular paper with the ballpoint pen meets our minimum needs.

2. The results, however, are not reproducible and therefore degrade one of the main functions of the plotter concept, i.e., direct reproduction. Each plot to be effectively used must be re-drawn.

3. IPD has invested many hundreds of man-hours, and is very disappointed in the entire plotting effort because of the very poor quality of the marking system. For the amount of money spent, the results are very poor. IPD recommends that because of the unsatisfactory performance the contractor be penalized to the maximum.

Deputy Chief, Information Processing Division, NPIC

25X1

Distribution:

Orig & 1 - Addressee w/att
2 - C/IPD

SECRET

